

Dublin, 6 March 2018

Hedging in energy markets



www.kyos.com, +31 (0)23 5510221 Cyriel de Jong, <u>dejong@kyos.com</u>

Outline

- Comparing NL-DE with IE-GB markets
- Some general hedging principles
- Proxy hedging
- Minimizing VaR versus EaR
- Hedge performance in NL market with DE forwards
- Conclusion

Comparison Ireland with the Netherlands

- Especially 1 large neighbouring country: Germany (vs GB)
- Interconnection capacities have been growing
 - NL-DE: from about 2 to 4 GW
 - NL-DE: market coupling since Nov 2010
- NL: also connections to BE, GB, NO



Interconnection flows expectation

- The Netherlands has been a net importer of German power
- Nuclear phase-out in Germany and coal/lignite plant closures will lead to a more balanced situation
- At the same time, transmission capacities will grow further





Price differentials NL - DE

- Variations in market structure
- E.g.: Energiewende Germany: massive growth in renewables from 2011 onwards
- DE and NL markets 'coupled' since Nov-2010: most equal prices in 2011



Average spot prices Netherlands and Germany



DE power forwards good hedge for NL power?

- German power market is the most liquid in Europe
- Dutch power market players can use German power forwards as a proxy hedge for their exposures
- What is a proxy hedge?
 - Actual exposure is to prices in market A (= NL, or IE)
 - Hedging is with instruments in market B (= DE, or GB)
- Proxy hedging effectiveness depends on:
 - Correlations in price returns (short-term)
 - Similarity in price movements (long-term)

Hedge result: risk reduction





Hedging

Hedge

A hedge is a position created to offset an exposure to price fluctuations in some opposite position (or market) with the goal of minimizing the exposure to unwanted risk

Power plant hedge

- Sell Power on forward market
- Buy fuels (gas/coal) on forward market
- Buy CO₂ credits on forward market
- Don't forget fx hedges (e.g. CO₂ is traded in EUR, Power/Gas in GBP)

Remember: hedge is done to minimize market risk, not operational (technical) risk

Plant hedging: decisions

1. When to hedge? Over what horizon?

- Today, next week, next month
- Everything at once, or gradually over time

2. Adjust hedge over time?

- Static or dynamic hedge
- Rolling intrinsic or delta

3. What products to use for hedging?

- Baseload, peakload
- Calendar, season, quarter, month

4. Volume or value?

Intuition:

High correlation (ρ_{PF}) between returns in your open position (P) and your hedge instrument (F), means a better hedge (it's worth hedging)

High volatility of open position (σ_P) relative to volatility of hedge instrument (σ_F), means I need a sizeable hedge

Definition:

h* =
$$\rho_{PF}$$
 * σ_{P} / σ_{F}

Implication: if you hedge an exposure in market P (=NL, IE) with products of market B (=DE, GB) you should reduce the (value-based) hedge volume in proportion to the correlation. Correlation is not 100%!



Optimal hedging to minimize VaR

- The hedge which minimizes the Value-at-Risk (VaR) is based on:
 - value/delta hedge, and
 - optimal hedge ratio
- Note: Value-at-Risk is the 'maximum' loss in market value over a short horizon (e.g. 1 day) with a certain confidence (e.g. 95%)



Analysis of NL and DE forward price returns

Using forward price data from 2005 to 2017:

- Volatility term structure similar
- Correlations lower close to maturity
- Forward correlation has increased





Minimizing VaR or other risk metric?

- VaR is a suitable risk measure in liquid markets, where market value can be accurately measured and managed
- VaR is less suitable for an asset portfolio, especially if there is significant spot price risk
- For example, if a power producer sells the expected power production (or delta exposure of the plant) forward:
 - The 'hope' is that the expected plant value (today) is eventually realized (in the future)
 - If revenues in the spot market are lower than expected by X million Euro, then this should be compensated by a forward hedging profit of X million Euro.

Earnings-at-Risk (EaR)

- EaR is similar to VaR, but:
 - Focusses on realized earnings during the delivery period
- Minimizing EaR and VaR may lead to somewhat different hedge volumes.



Historical analysis DE hedge for NL market

- We are 1 MWh long in year Y, in NL
- We sell x MWh forward in DE
 - In year Y-1 we gradually sell the Y+1 forward product
- We assess P&L for different levels of x (= hedge ratio)



Analysis continued

Optimal hedge ratio, historically: 50%

May be different over other horizons, and also when considering other hedging strategies (e.g. involving quarterly and monthly products, peak versus base, etc.)



Conclusion

- Forward trading in IE market is likely to remain illiquid
- Proxy hedging with other products may be needed
 - With fuels? Don't trust (static) fundamental models!
 - With power forwards in GB? Don't overhedge!
- Try to assess optimal hedge volumes, and monitor performance over time